



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/789,597

02/27/2004

Kirt Martin

STE01 P-1158

9061

277 7590 05/23/2008  
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP  
695 KENMOOR, S.E.  
P O BOX 2567  
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501

EXAMINER

HAWK, NOAH CHANDLER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3636

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/789,597	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARTIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NOAH C. HAWK	<b>Art Unit</b> 3636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Long in US Patent 3000681.

a. Regarding Claim 1, Long discloses a “privacy screen” (the fact that the device provides a means for concealing the knees and legs of the user of the desk is considered sufficient to consider this a privacy screen, insofar as it is defined by the applicant) for use within a desk assembly having first and second (16 and 17) supporting members supporting the worksurface (12), the privacy screen comprising a first member (22) having a generally planar first portion (23), a first flange (26) and a first end (including 24 and 33) adapted to be secured to a desk assembly, a second member (22a) having a generally planar second portion (23a), a second flange (27) configured to telescopingly receive the first flange therein such that the first and second planar portions are substantially proximate, and a second end (including 24a and 34) adapted to be secured to the desk assembly, wherein the first and second members are telescopingly adjustable with respect to one another allowing adjustment of an overall length of

the privacy screen extending between the first and second end, the planar first and second members are vertically oriented, at least one of the first and second members includes at least one securing flange (including at least 25) adapted to be secured (via elements 33 and 16) to a first supporting surface, a second supporting surface or the worksurface.

b. Regarding Claim 2, Long teaches that the first flange is substantially C-shaped (See Figure 4).

c. Regarding Claim 3, Long teaches that the second flange (31) includes a first portion (the top section of the flange) extending from and substantially orthogonal to the planar second portion, a second portion (28) orthogonal to the first portion and a lip portion (27, as shown in Figure 4) extending toward the planar portion.

d. Regarding Claim 4, Long teaches that the second flange is substantially C-shaped (See Figure 4).

e. Regarding Claim 5, Long teaches that the first flange (26) includes a first portion (the top portion) extending orthogonal to the planar portion of the first member, a second portion (26, as shown in Figure 4) extending substantially orthogonal from the first portion and wherein the second portion of the first flange is telescopingly received between the second and lip portions of the second flange.

f. Regarding Claims 6 and 9, Long teaches that the securing flange includes an upper flange (32) extending longitudinally along the first planar portion of the

first member (the flange is aligned with the longitudinal direction of the first planar member) and an upper flange (31) extending longitudinally along the second planar portion of the second member (the flange is aligned with the longitudinal direction of the second planar member) and wherein the first and second upper flanges are substantially proximate to each other and telescopingly assembled.

g. Regarding Claim 8 and 11, Long teaches that the at least one securing flange includes an end flange (including 33) that includes an aperture (See Figure 6) to receive fasteners (37) for securing the screen to a support member.

3. Claims 12-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Long in US Patent 3000681.

h. Regarding Claim 12, Long teaches a desk assembly comprising a worksurface (12) a first supporting member (16) and a second supporting member (17). Long further discloses a "privacy screen" (the fact that the device provides a means for concealing the knees and legs of the user of the desk is considered sufficient to consider this a privacy screen, insofar as it is defined by the applicant) comprising a first member (22) having a generally planar first portion (23), a first flange (26) and a first end (including 24 and 33) adapted to be secured to the first supporting member (16), a second member (22a) having a generally planar second portion (23a), a second flange (37) configured to telescopingly receive the first flange therein such that the first and second planar portions are substantially proximate, and a second end (including 24a and 34) secured to the second supporting member (17), wherein the first and second

members are telescopingly adjustable with respect to one another allowing adjustment of an overall length of the privacy screen extending between the first and second end and wherein the planar first and second members are vertically oriented, at least one of the first and second members includes at least one securing flange (including at least 25) adapted to be secured (via elements 33 and 16) to a first supporting surface, a second supporting surface or the worksurface.

- i. Regarding Claim 13, Long teaches that the first flange is substantially C-shaped (See Figure 4).
- j. Regarding Claim 14, Long teaches that the second flange (31) includes a first portion (the top portion) extending from and substantially orthogonal to the planar second portion, a second portion (28) orthogonal to the first portion and a lip portion (27) extending toward the planar portion.
- k. Regarding Claim 15, Long teaches that the second flange is substantially C-shaped (See Figure 4).
- l. Regarding Claim 16, Long teaches that the first flange includes a first portion (the top portion) extending orthogonal to the planar portion of the first member, a second portion (26) extending substantially orthogonal from the first portion and wherein the second portion of the first flange is telescopingly received between the second and lip portions of the second flange.

m. Regarding Claim 17, Long teaches that the first member includes an upper flange (32) and the second member includes an upper flange (31), wherein the upper flanges are substantially proximate to one another.

n. Regarding Claim 19, Long teaches that the first and second ends include an end flange (33 and 34, resp.) that include apertures (See Figure 6) to receive fasteners (37) for securing the screen to the first and second support members.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long, as applied to Claim 6 above in view of Alexander in US Patent 4145098. Long fails to teach apertures in the upper flange. Alexander teaches a privacy screen (4) having an upper flange (16) with an aperture (34) for receiving a fastener for securing the screen to a desktop (12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Long by adding an aperture in one of the upper flanges in order to more securely fasten the device to the desk.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long, as applied to Claim 1 above in view of Alexander in US Patent 4145098. Long teaches that the first member includes an upper flange (32) and the second member includes an

Art Unit: 3636

upper flange (31), but fails to teach apertures in the upper flange. Alexander teaches a privacy screen (4) having an upper flange (16) with an aperture (34) for receiving a fastener for securing the screen to a desktop (12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Long by adding an aperture in one of the upper flanges in order to more securely fasten the device to the desk.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Long, as applied to Claim 12 above in view of Alexander in US Patent 4145098. Long teaches that the first member includes an upper flange (32) and the second member includes an upper flange (31), but fails to teach apertures in the upper flange. Alexander teaches a privacy screen (4) having an upper flange (16) with an aperture (34) for receiving a fastener for securing the screen to a desktop (12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Long by adding an aperture in one of the upper flanges in order to more securely fasten the device to the desk.

### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

9. Long teaches a privacy screen having vertically oriented planar members (23 and 23a), first and second flanges (26, 27) and securing flanges (33/34).



***Conclusion***

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NOAH C. HAWK whose telephone number is (571)272-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. C. H./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3636

/David Dunn/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3636